Security from Day 1

Applications the target now that the OS is hardened

Security must be no afterthought

- Programmers must be knowledgeable about problems and solutions
  - Education needed
  - Enforcement of guidelines
  - Testing of compliance
- Automatic security features can help
  - Programming languages with fewer pitfalls preferred
  - Use safer alternatives to interfaces which are problematic
  - Enable automatic fortification of code
Vulnerability Classes

Every programming language has problems in one or more of the following classes:

- Memory handling
- Filesystem use
- Untrusted input
- Unchecked resource usage

Some problems are inherent to the OS environment
Others are inherent to the programming language: choice means compromise
Memory Handling Problems

C and partially C++ vulnerable

Programmer is responsible for
- Memory allocation
- Memory deallocation
- Memory initialization

Buffer overflows are the biggest enemy

```c
void hello(char *s) {
    char buf[50];
    strcpy(stpcpy(buf, "Hello "), s);
    puts(s);
}
```
Implicit Allocation

The best solution is to avoid explicit memory allocation altogether:

- Constructors in C++, Java, LISP, etc
  - Also assures memory is initialized
- Use alternative interfaces:
  - `asprintf()` to create simple strings
  - `open_memstream()` for more complicated strings
  - Use ‘a’ modifier in `scanf()`
  - `getline()` instead of `fgets()`
  - `strdup()` for string duplication
Automatic Protection

gcc and glibc have been extended to automatically protect several functions if possible:

- gcc keeps track of array and variable sizes
  - Variable sizes always known
  - Implicit and explicit `alloca()` tracked inside function
  - Memory allocated with `malloc()`-like functions tracked inside function
- Functions like `strcpy()` have wrapper macros or inline functions:
  ```c
  char *__strcpy_chk (char *dest, const char *src, size_t n)
  ```
  - The `n` parameter describes the real length of the `dest` buffer
  - The function checks the source string length before copying and fails if necessary
Prevented Overflow

#include <string.h>

int main(int argc, char *argv[]) {
    char buf[10];
    strcpy(buf, argv[1]);
    return 0;
}

Running this produces:

***buffer overflow detected ***: ./prg terminated
====== Backtrace: =======
/lib/libc.so.6(__chk_fail+0x41)[xb1ec5]
/lib/libc.so.6(__strcpy_chk+0x3d)[xb1e355]
./prg[0x80483bd]
/lib/libc.so.6(__libc_start_main+0xc6)[xa55de6]
./prg[0x804831d]
====== Memory map: =======
005b6000-005bf000 r-xp 00000000 03:08 288623 /lib/libgcc_s-4.0.0-20050405.so
...
Correct Filesystem Use

- File name is not file content
  - Relationship can change at any time
- File creation possible source of data loss
  - Ensure no file is overwritten
  - Multiple creators can interfere with each other
- File replacing can lead to missing data
  - At any one time a file with the given name must exist
- Also applies to directories

Solution: work with file descriptors instead of file names
Untrusted Input

Exploit: carefully crafted, malicious input processed by buggy program

Solution: Verify all input
- Verify input text to recognize invalid text:
  - Invalid character encoding
  - Cross Site Scripting
  - SQL injection
- For Unix domain sockets: verify source process and user/group ID
- Check origin of signals
- Use appropriate random data (pseudo RNG, true randomness)
Enforce Good Programming

- Use warnings the compiler can emit:
  - Add `-Wall -Wextra` to compiler command line
  - For C++ additionally use `-Wextra`  
  - Add `-Werror` to enforce no warnings during compilation

- Annotate source code
  - Mark obsolete interfaces with `deprecated` function attribute
  - Make sure return value is used with `warn_unused_result` attribute
  - Check for invalid NULL pointer parameters with `nonnull` attribute

- Other tools like `splint` might prove usable as well
Debugging Techniques

- Obviously, general debugger available

- Most problematic in C/C++: memory handling problems
  - Runtime tests
    - Simple checks in glibc (MALLOC_CHECK_, mtrace, mcheck)
    - malloc replacements: dmalloc, ElectricFence
    - Special compilation mode: mudflap
    - Debug mode in C++ runtime library
  - External program: valgrind

- Big debugging problem: repeating often hard or impossible
  - Getting information at the time of the failure: backtrace
mudflap

mudflap has several advantages over the other techniques:

- Catches several more types of bugs
- Much faster than valgrind; could be used in production
- If all files are compiled appropriately, all errors are discovered

```c
int a[10], b[10];
int main(void) { return a[11]; }
```

mudflap violation 1 (check/read): time...
pc=0xd94342 location=`test.c:2 (main)`
  /usr/lib/libmudflap.so.0(__mf_check+0x44) [0xd94342]
  ./test.c(main+0x53) [0x80486f3]
  /usr/lib/libmudflap.so.0(__wrap_main+0x1d8) [0xd9506e]

Nearby object 1: checked region begins 0B into and ends 8B after mudflap object 0x95361e8: name=`test.c:1 a`
Questions?
Comments?

Contact: drepper@redhat.com